

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/008,889	11/08/2001	William Peckham	CISCO-5020	5275
7590 02/08/2005			EXAMINER	
David B. Ritchie			REID, CHERYL M	
Thelen Reid & Priest LLP P.O. Box 640640			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95164-0640			2142	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/008,889	PECKHAM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cheryl M. Reid	2142				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sI - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thin riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 November 2001</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	<u> </u>					
,,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	, –					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 8-11, 16-19, 24-27and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldberg.

Claims 1, 9, 17, and 25

• Goldberg implicitly teaches of a first memory storing a list of one or more ports;.... a list of one or more active sessions...; a verifier communicating with said first memory, verifier periodically checking a session in said list of one or more sessions, said verifier searching for said user in said list of one or more ports....(Col 2, lines 15-65, Col 3, lines 1-15). Goldberg does not explicitly teach of if said session is inactive, verifier updating said session if said user is identified on an other port. Goldberg teaches of tracking connection state (active/inactive) of a session (Col 3, lines 10-15);

Application/Control Number: 10/008,889 Page 3

Art Unit: 2142

Goldberg also teaches of modifying session database (Col 2, lines 43-47). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Goldberg's invention so that it would modify session database (update) based on tracking connection state information.

Adding the above-mentioned modification would result in a more efficient system because it would allow Goldberg's invention to have up to date or current information about session connections. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated to make the above-mentioned modifications.

Claims 8, 16, 24, and 32

Goldberg teaches of searching a database for a specific session
 (implicitly implies searching for a user). Goldberg teaches that each
 session correspondence to a specific socket (port), thus implying network
 device having one or more ports. (Col 3, lines 20-25, 51-66).

Claims 2, 10, 18, and 26

 Goldberg teaches of deactivating (deleting)session(Col 3, lines 40-50). Application/Control Number: 10/008,889 Page 4

Art Unit: 2142

Claims 3, 11, 19, and 27

 Goldberg teaches of deactivating(closing) sessions whose timestamps are expired (Col 19, lines 5-20).

3. Claims 4-5,12-13,20-21,28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldberg as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Raab.

Claims 4-5,12-13,20-21,28 and 29

• Goldberg is silent in regards to identifies said user on any port with a Media Access Control address. Raab teaches on this aspect (Col 2, lines 55-63). Goldberg's invention relates to data communication systems (Col 1, lines 5-10). Raab's invention relates to networking systems (Col 1, lines 5-20). Adding the above-mentioned feature to Goldberg's invention would result in a more versatile system because it would extend its functionality to include systems that use MAC. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated to make the above-mentioned modifications.

4. Claims 6,14,22,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldberg as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Beadle.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,889

Art Unit: 2142

Claims 6,14,22,30

Goldberg teaches about searching and updating...(Col 3, lines 15-30). Goldberg is silent in regards to port hopping setting. Beadle teaches of allowing a client browser to switch from one connection route to another route (i.e. using a different connection route implies that a connection is switching from one port to another, hence port hopping). Beadle teaches of port being enable (Col 2, lines 60-65), the fact that the session is completed on the new route, implies that the port was enabled. Beadle is silent in regards to disabling a port (i.e. connection to port or route cannot be made). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Beadle's invention to allow port to be disable because this would result in a more versatile or secure system. For example, one of ordinary skill in the art might want to restrict certain ports (routes) for specific data transmission (high priority, warnings, etc), thus if certain data did not meet that specific criteria, port (i.e. route) would be disabled. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated to make the above-mentioned modification to Goldberg's invention because it would result in a more efficient session tracking system.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/008,889 Page 6

Art Unit: 2142

5. Claims 7,15,23,31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldberg and Raab, and further in view of Beadle.

Claims 7,15,23,31

- Goldberg is silent in regards to policy setting and retaining policy setting of user at said port. Raab teaches about policy settings (Col 2, lines 55-65, Col 3, lines 1-10). Raab is silent in regards to retaining policy settings of user at said port. Beadle implicitly teaches on this aspect (Col 2, lines 39-67, Col 3, lines 1-12). The fact that connection to new route is made without losing session information, implicitly teaches that the policy settings of the first connection (port, route) are employed in the second connection. Adding the above-mentioned feature to Goldberg's invention would result in a more efficient system because it would allow Goldberg to provide a more efficient session tracking system.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl M. Reid whose telephone number is 571 272 3903. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri (7-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey can be reached on (571)272-3896. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,889

Art Unit: 2142

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cmr